

DISCRETE MATHEMATICS 6 (1973) 159–162. © North-Holland Publishing Company

THE RAMSEY NUMBERS $r(P_m, K_n)$

T.D. PARSONS

Department of Mathematics, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pa. 16802, USA

Received 20 September 1972*

Abstract. This note evaluates the Ramsey numbers $r(P_m, K_n)$, and discusses developments in generalized Ramsey theory for graphs.

1. Introduction

Let A and B be graphs. Define $r(A, B)$ to be the least integer N such that, for every graph G with N vertices, either G contains A as a subgraph or its complement \bar{G} contains B . The existence of $r(A, B)$ follows from a theorem of Ramsey [20].

Let K_n , P_n , C_n and $K_{1,n}$ denote respectively a complete graph on n vertices, a path with n vertices, a cycle with n vertices and a star of degree n . We follow the notations and definitions of [18].

Until recently, attention was devoted only to the cases $r(K_m, K_n)$, for which few nontrivial numbers are known [17]. The refinement $r(A, B)$, now known as “generalized Ramsey theory for graphs” [7], has already proved fruitful. It was apparently first suggested in a paper of Gerencsér and Gyárfás [16] which established that

$$(1) \quad r(P_m, P_n) = n + \lfloor \tfrac{1}{2}m \rfloor - 1 \quad \text{for } m \leq n.$$

(This result was later rediscovered by Burr and Roberts [2], and by the author.) However, the theory was perhaps implicit in earlier work of Erdős [13], who essentially evaluated $r(P_m, K_n)$ in 1947 by proving Theorem 2 below.

Generalized Ramsey theory was also formulated independently by Cockayne [11], and Chvátal and Harary [7].

* Original version received in July 1972.

Chartrand and Schuster [3–5, 22] have investigated the numbers $r(C_m, C_n)$, as have Bondy and Erdős [1]. Burr and Roberts [2] evaluated all $r(K_{1,m}, K_{1,n})$, and Schwenk has determined all the numbers $r(K_m, K_{1,n})$ (see [19]). Williamson [23] has computed the Ramsey numbers for paths in directed graphs.

Chvátal and Harary [7–10] have discussed further refinements, and have computed many numbers $r(A, B)$ for both A, B having few vertices. Harary [19] has surveyed recent results.

Some other outgrowths of “Ramseyian” theory appear in [6, 14, 21].

2. The numbers $r(P_m, K_n)$

Theorem 1. $r(P_m, K_n) = (m-1)(n-1) + 1$.

The graph composed of $n-1$ disjoint copies of K_{m-1} contains no P_m and its complement contains no K_n , so $r(P_m, K_n) > (m-1)(n-1)$. Theorem 1 thus follows at once from a theorem of Erdős [13].

Theorem 2 (Erdős). *If a graph G has $(m-1)(n-1) + 1$ vertices, then G contains P_m or \bar{G} contains K_n .*

This theorem is itself an immediate consequence of a theorem of Gallai [15].

Theorem 3 (Gallai [15]). *A graph G is not $(m-1)$ -colorable if and only if every orientation of G contains a (directed) path with m vertices.*

Indeed, if G has $(m-1)(n-1) + 1$ vertices and its complement \bar{G} contains no K_n , then G is not $(m-1)$ -colorable, so G contains a P_m by Gallai’s Theorem.

It is worth mentioning that Theorem 2 also follows quickly from a well-known theorem of Dilworth [12]: Let the vertices of G be v_1, \dots, v_k and suppose that G contains no P_m and its complement contains no K_n . Partially order the vertices by letting $v_i \leq v_j$ if either $i = j$ or $i < j$ and there exists a path $v_i, v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_s}$ in G such that $i < i_1 < \dots < i_s = j$. Clearly, all chains have at most $m-1$ points and all antichains have at most $n-1$ points in this ordering, so $k \leq (m-1)(n-1)$ by Dilworth’s Theorem, which implies Theorem 2.

3. Other Ramsey numbers involving paths

It is not surprising that $r(P_m, K_n)$ and $r(P_m, P_n)$ were apparently the first nontrivial generalized Ramsey numbers to be determined completely. Numbers of the form $r(P_m, B)$ might be expected to be relatively tractable. The author has recently proved the following theorem.

Theorem 4.

$$r(P_m, K_{1,n}) = \begin{cases} m+n-1 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{m-1}, \\ m+n-2 & \text{if } n \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{m-1}, \\ m+n-2 & \text{if } n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{m-1} \text{ and } n \geq m^2 - 6m + 9, \end{cases}$$

provided that $m \geq 3$. When $m = 2$, the corresponding numbers are trivial.

Regarding the numbers $r(C_m, P_n)$, current work shows that

$$(2) \quad r(C_m, P_n) = 2n-1 \quad \text{for odd } m \leq n.$$

Indeed, P. Erdős has written the author that V. Rosta has proved $r(C_m, C_n) \leq 2n-1$ for all $m \leq n$ except $(m, n) = (3, 3)$. It follows that for odd $m \leq n$,

$$2n-1 \geq r(C_m, C_n) \geq r(C_m, P_n) > 2n-2,$$

where the last inequality comes from the complete bipartite graph $K_{n-1, n-1}$. Additionally, $r(C_3, P_3) = 5$ follows from Theorem 1, and $r(C_3, C_3) = 6$ is well known.

The author has proved $r(C_4, P_n) = n+1$, $n \geq 3$, and $r(C_6, P_n) = n+2$, $n \geq 6$. Except for a few special cases, this follows from the formulas for $r(C_4, C_n)$ and $r(C_6, C_n)$ in [3, 22]. Perhaps it is true that $r(C_m, P_n) = n + \lfloor \frac{1}{2} m \rfloor - 1$ for even $m \leq n$.

References

- [1] J.A. Bondy and P. Erdős, Ramsey numbers for cycles in graphs, to appear.
- [2] S.A. Burr and J.A. Roberts, On Ramsey numbers for paths, to appear.

- [3] G. Chartrand and S. Schuster, On the existence of specified cycles in complementary graphs, *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* 77 (1971) 995–998.
- [4] G. Chartrand and S. Schuster, A note on cycle Ramsey numbers, *Discrete Math.* 5 (1973) 313–315.
- [5] G. Chartrand and S. Schuster, On a variation of the Ramsey number, to appear.
- [6] V. Chvátal, Hypergraphs and Ramseyian theorems, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 27 (1971) 434–440.
- [7] V. Chvátal and F. Harary, Generalized Ramsey theory for graphs, *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* 78 (1972) 423–426.
- [8] V. Chvátal and F. Harary, Generalized Ramsey theory for graphs, I. Diagonal numbers, *Periodica Math. Hung.*, to appear.
- [9] V. Chvátal and F. Harary, Generalized Ramsey theory for graphs, II. Small diagonal numbers, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 32 (1972) 389–394.
- [10] V. Chvátal and F. Harary, Generalized Ramsey theory for graphs III. Small off-diagonal numbers, *Pacific J. Math.*, to appear.
- [11] E.J. Cockayne, An application of Ramsey's Theorem, *Can. Math. Bull.* 13 (1970) 145–146.
- [12] R.P. Dilworth, A decomposition theorem for partially ordered sets, *Ann. Math.* 51 (1950) 161–166.
- [13] P. Erdős, Some remarks on the theory of graphs, *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* 53 (1947) 292–294.
- [14] P. Erdős and R. Rado, A partition calculus in set theory, *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* 62 (1956) 427–489.
- [15] T. Gallai, On directed paths and circuits, in: P. Erdős and G. Katona, eds., *Theory of graphs* (Academic Press, New York, 1968).
- [16] L. Gerencsér and A. Gyárfás, On Ramsey-type problems, *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös* 10 (1967) 167–170.
- [17] J.E. Graver and J. Yackel, Some graph theoretic results associated with Ramsey's Theorem, *J. Combin. Theory* 4 (1968) 125–175.
- [18] F. Harary, *Graph theory* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1969).
- [19] F. Harary, Recent results on generalized Ramsey theory for graphs, to appear.
- [20] F.P. Ramsey, On a problem of formal logic, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 30 (1930) 264–286.
- [21] B. Rothschild, A generalization of Ramsey's Theorem, in: T.S. Motzkin, ed., *Combinatorics* (Am. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1971).
- [22] S. Schuster, Progress on the problem of eccentric hosts, to appear.
- [23] J.E. Williamson, A Ramsey-type problem for paths in digraphs, to appear.